



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/136,839	08/20/1998	RICHARD J. TETT	PAGE01-00136	2211

23990 7590 05/03/2002

DOCKET CLERK
P.O. DRAWER 800889
DALLAS, TX 75380

EXAMINER

SHIMIZU, MATSUICHIRO

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2635

DATE MAILED: 05/03/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/136,839	TETT, RICHARD J.
	Examiner Matsuichiro Shimizu	Art Unit 2635

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 February 2002.

2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.

If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.

12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).

a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.

15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) _____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other: _____

Response to Amendment

The examiner acknowledges three times amended claims 1-2, 10, 18, amended claim 17 and new claim 21.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-2, 10 and 17-18 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Regarding applicant's argument (line 14, page 14 to line 3, page 16), there exists no motivation that would modify selective call signaling system with combined wide area paging and high data rate transmissions via radio telephone transceivers disclosed by Davis, such argument is moot as evidenced by new rejection with Davis in view of Octel-94 that: in the disclosure contained in Octel-94, Octel-94 discloses, in the art of subscriber message storage system, storing messages directed to the subscriber including at least one stored message which was previously delivered to said subscriber (note; pages, iii, 1-3 and 6, archived messages) to assure the successful transfer of any message. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include storing wireless messages directed to the subscriber including at least one stored message which was previously delivered to said subscriber in the device of Davis because Davis suggests storing lengthy messages directed to the subscriber independent of whether the messages have been delivered to the subscriber and Octel-94 teaches storing messages directed to the subscriber including at least one stored message which was previously delivered to said subscriber to assure the successful transfer of any message.

Regarding applicant's argument (lines 13-19, page 16), there exists no motivation that would modify selective call signaling system with combined wide area paging and high data rate transmissions via radio telephone transceivers disclosed by Davis, such argument is moot as evidenced by new rejection with Davis in view of Octel-94 that: in the disclosure contained in Octel-94, Octel-94 discloses, in the art of subscriber message storage system, storing automatically messages directed to the subscriber (note; pages, iii, 1-4 and 6, page 4 on "erase" messages are stored automatically if not erased) for later action (save, replay, erase or reply) on stored messages. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include storing messages directed to the subscriber, regardless of whether said message was received by device in the wireless device of Davis because Davis suggests storing wireless lengthy messages directed to the subscriber independent of whether the wireless messages have been delivered to the subscriber and Octel-94 teaches storing automatically messages directed to the subscriber for later action (save, replay, erase or reply) on stored messages.

Regarding applicant's argument (lines 4-12, page 16), the examiner maintains that: rejections of claims 1, 10 and 18 are provided by prior arts of Davis in view of Octel-94, and not by prior art of Pete. Therefore, the applicant's argument is moot.

1. Applicant's arguments filed 2/12/2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding applicant's argument (lines 4-9, page 17), the examiner maintains that: Davis discloses said message retrieval request is received from transceiver/pager (Fig. 1, 40) via a public telephone system (30, Fig. 1, c 4, ls 25-28, the interface coupled to PSTN-20). That is,

applicants arguments address the claims 9 and 21 as defined by the specification, and the rejections are based on the broadest reasonable interpretation, one of ordinary skill in the art considers the "claimed invention" to be.

Therefore, the examiner' rejection is provided as follows:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

1. Claims 1-5, 7-13, 15-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Davis (5,392,452) in view of Octel (copyright 1994).

Regarding claim 1, Davis discloses for use in a wireless messaging system (c 1, ls 8-12), a message distribution system capable of allowing a subscriber (c 5, l 8, user) of said wireless messaging system to review stored wireless messages sent to said subscriber comprising; an interface to a database coupled to the message distribution system and capable of storing wireless messages directed to the subscriber independent of whether the wireless messages have been delivered to the subscriber (c 6, ls 35-52, the message is stored in the temporary message memory (42, Fig. 1) whether the subscriber is authorized or unauthorized, and independently upon request and correct ID by the subscriber the message is transmitted to the subscriber via message distribution system of PSTN (20)), and furthermore, capable of storing wireless lengthy

messages directed to the subscriber independent of whether the wireless messages have been delivered to the subscriber (c 6, ls 35-52, the message is stored in the temporary message memory (42, Fig. 1) whether the subscriber is authorized or unauthorized, and independently upon request and correct ID by the subscriber the message is transmitted to the subscriber via message distribution system of PSTN (20)); a first I/O interface (30, Fig. 1, c 4, ls 25-31, telephone interface network) capable of receiving a message retrieval request (Fig. 1, c 4, ls 25-31, message retrieval request) from said subscriber (Fig. 1, c 4 , ls 25-31, signal generated belonging to subscriber or user); a message retrieval controller coupled to said first I/O interface (32, Fig. 1, c 4, ls 25-31, telephone interface network) capable of determining an identity of said subscriber (Fig. 1, c 4, ls 25-31, predetermined security identification code) from identification data contained in said message retrieval request (Fig. 1, c 4, ls 25-31, signal generated belonging to subscriber or user), retrieving a data record associated with said subscriber (34 and 42, Fig. 1), said data record containing one or more of said stored wireless messages (42, Fig. 1), and transferring to said subscriber one or more selected portions of at least one of said stored wireless messages (c 4, ls 34-40, transferred to the pager or subscriber). But Davis does not disclose storing wireless messages directed to the subscriber including at least one stored message, which was previously delivered to said subscriber.

However, Octel-94 discloses, in the art of subscriber message storage system, storing messages directed to the subscriber including at least one stored message which was previously delivered to said subscriber (note; pages, iii, 1-3 and 6, archived messages) to assure the successful transfer of any message. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include storing wireless messages directed to the

subscriber including at least one stored message which was previously delivered to said subscriber in the device of Davis because Davis suggests storing lengthy messages directed to the subscriber independent of whether the wireless messages have been delivered to the subscriber and Octel-94 teaches storing messages directed to the subscriber including at least one stored message which was previously delivered to said subscriber to assure the successful transfer of any message.

Regarding claim 2, Davis continues, as disclosed in claim 1, to disclose storing wireless lengthy messages directed to the subscriber independent of whether the wireless messages have been delivered to the subscriber (c 6, ls 35-52, the message is stored in the temporary message memory (42, Fig. 1) whether the subscriber is authorized or unauthorized, and independently upon request and correct ID by the subscriber the message is transmitted to the subscriber via message distribution system of PSTN (20)); But Davis does not disclose storing messages directed to the subscriber automatically.

However, Octel-94 discloses, in the art of subscriber message storage system, storing automatically messages directed to the subscriber (note; pages, iii, 1-4 and 6, page 4 on “erase” messages are stored automatically if not erased) for later action (save, replay, erase or reply) on stored messages. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include storing messages directed to the subscriber, regardless of whether said message was received by device in the wireless device of Davis because Davis suggests storing wireless lengthy messages directed to the subscriber independent of whether the wireless messages have been delivered to the subscriber and Octel-94 teaches storing messages

directed to the subscriber (note; pages, iii, 1-4 and 6, page 4 on “erase” messages are stored automatically if not erased) for later action (save, replay, erase or reply) on stored messages.

Regarding claim 3, Davis, further, discloses the distribution system initially transfers at least one stored message within the data record to the subscriber in response to the message retrieval request (c 4, ls 22-47, more selected fields are all fields or message). But Davis does not disclose the distribution system initially transfers only one or more selected fields from at least one stored message within the data record to the subscriber in response to the message retrieval request (c 4, ls 22-47, more selected fields are all fields or message).

However, Octel-94 discloses, in the art of subscriber message storage system, only selected fields from stored messages are sent to the subscriber in response to the initial retrieval request (page 3, envelope information by pressing key-5) before determining the transfer of full message. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the distribution system initially transfers only one or more selected fields from at least one stored message within the data record to the subscriber in response to the message retrieval request in the device of Davis because Davis suggests the distribution system initially transfers at least one stored message within the data record to the subscriber in response to the message retrieval request and Octel-94 teaches, Octel-94 discloses, in the art of subscriber message storage system, only selected fields from stored wireless messages are sent to the subscriber in response to the initial retrieval request (page 3, envelope information by pressing key-5) before determining the transfer of full message.

Regarding claim 4, Octel-94 discloses, in the art of subscriber message storage system, only selected complete message from stored wireless messages are sent to the subscriber in response to the initial retrieval request (page 3, "Replay a Message").

Regarding claim 5, Davis discloses the first I/O interface (30, Fig. 1, c 4, ls 34-40) and an RF transceiver facility (15, Fig.1).

Regarding claim 7, Davis discloses receiving from said RF transceiver facility a response message responsive to a transmission of said received wireless message to said paging device (50, Fig. 1, c 5, ls 44-47, a call point transceiver) and response messages to stored messages are stored in association with the stored messages within the data record/database (c 3, ls 22-43, a call point transceiver).

Regarding claim 8, Davis discloses subscriber transmits message retrieval request. But Davis does not disclose the subscriber may selectively cancel any subsequent attempt to deliver the received message.

However, Octel-94 discloses, in the art of subscriber message storage system, canceling any subsequent attempt to deliver the received message (page 3, cancel review messages via *-key) to select option of save, replay, erase or skip. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the subscriber may selectively cancel any subsequent attempt to deliver the received wireless message in the device of Davis because Davis suggests subscriber transmits message retrieval request and Octel-94 teaches canceling any subsequent attempt to deliver the received message to select option of save, replay, erase or skip.

Regarding claim 9, Davis discloses said message retrieval request is received from a public telephone system (30, Fig. 1, c 4, ls 25-28, the interface coupled to PSTN-20).

Regarding claim 10, Davis discloses a plurality of RF transceiver facilities (c 3, ls 2-33, anticipated from the nearest cordless telephone call point station suggests many other call point stations). Furthermore, the subject matters except said plurality of RF transceiver facilities in claim 10 are disclosed in claim 1, and therefore, rejections of the remaining subject matter expressed in claim 10 are met by references and associated arguments applied to rejections of claim 1. But Davis does not disclose storing wireless messages directed to the subscriber including at least one stored message which was previously delivered to said subscriber.

But Davis does not disclose storing wireless messages directed to the subscriber including at least one stored message which was previously delivered to said subscriber.

However, Octel-94 discloses, in the art of subscriber message storage system, storing messages directed to the subscriber including at least one stored message which was previously delivered to said subscriber (note; pages, iii, 1-3 and 6, archived messages) to assure the successful transfer of any message. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include storing wireless messages directed to the subscriber including at least one stored message which was previously delivered to said subscriber in the device of Davis because Davis suggests storing lengthy messages directed to the subscriber independent of whether the wireless messages have been delivered to the subscriber and Octel-94 teaches storing messages directed to the subscriber including at least one stored message which was previously delivered to said subscriber to assure the successful transfer of any message.

All subject matters in claim 11 are disclosed in claims 3 and 10, and therefore, rejections of the subject matters expressed in claim 11 are met by references and associated arguments applied to rejections of claims 3 and 10.

All subject matters in claim 12 are disclosed in claims 4 and 11, and therefore, rejections of the subject matters expressed in claim 12 are met by references and associated arguments applied to rejections of claims 4 and 11.

All subject matters in claim 13 are disclosed in claims 4 and 10, and therefore, rejections of the subject matters expressed in claim 13 are met by references and associated arguments applied to rejections of claims 4 and 10.

All subject matters in claim 15 are disclosed in claims 7 and 13, and therefore, rejections of the subject matters expressed in claim 15 are met by references and associated arguments applied to rejections of claims 7 and 13.

All subject matters in claim 16 are disclosed in claims 8 and 13, and therefore, rejections of the subject matters expressed in claim 16 are met by references and associated arguments applied to rejections of claims 8 and 13.

All subject matters in claim 17 are disclosed in claims 2 and 10, and therefore, rejections of the subject matters expressed in claim 17 are met by references and associated arguments applied to rejections of claims 2 and 10.

Claim 18 recites a method of operation corresponding to system and method for retrieving and displaying paging messages of claim 1. The method claimed is obvious in that it simply follows the logical implementation of system and method for retrieving and displaying paging messages in the claim in performing each of the functional operations of method and

apparatus for system and method for retrieving and displaying paging messages. Accordingly, the inventive embodiments set forth in claim 18 are met by the cited references and associated arguments as set forth above and incorporated herein. Therefore, it is considered that rejection of the limitations expressed in claim 18 would have been obvious to the artisan of ordinary skill at the time of the invention for the reasons given in the rejection of claim 1.

Claim 19 recites a method of operation corresponding to system and method for retrieving and displaying paging messages of claims 1, 3 and 18. The method claimed is anticipated in that it simply follows the logical implementation of system and method for retrieving and displaying paging messages in the claim in performing each of the functional operations of method and apparatus for system and method for retrieving and displaying paging messages. Accordingly, the inventive embodiments set forth in claim 19 are met by the cited references and associated arguments as set forth above and incorporated herein. Therefore, it is considered that rejection of the limitations expressed in claim 19 would have been anticipated to the artisan of ordinary skill at the time of the invention for the reasons given in the rejection of claims 1, 3 and 18.

Claim 20 recites a method of operation corresponding to system and method for retrieving and displaying paging messages of claims 1, 3 and 18-19. The method claimed is anticipated in that it simply follows the logical implementation of system and method for retrieving and displaying paging messages in the claim in performing each of the functional operations of method and apparatus for system and method for retrieving and displaying paging messages. Accordingly, the inventive embodiments set forth in claim 20 are met by the cited references and associated arguments as set forth above and incorporated herein. Therefore, it is

considered that rejection of the limitations expressed in claim 20 would have been anticipated to the artisan of ordinary skill at the time of the invention for the reasons given in the rejection of claims 1, 3 and 18-19.

All subject matters in claim 21 are disclosed in claim 1, and therefore, rejections of the subject matters expressed in claim 21 are met by references and associated arguments applied to rejections of claim 1.

2. Claims 6 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Davis in view of Octel-94 as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of and Pepe et al. (5,742,905).

Regarding claim 6, Davis discloses subscriber ID received with the security ID (c 4, ls 34-37). But Davis does not disclose said subscriber to enter a password.

However, Pepe discloses, in the analogous art of subscriber security, said subscriber to enter a password (c 13, ls 45-48). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include said subscriber to enter a password in the device of Davis because Davis suggests subscriber ID received with the security ID and Pepe teaches said subscriber to enter a password as an added security feature.

All subject matters in claim 14 are disclosed in claims 6 and 13, and therefore, rejections of the subject matters expressed in claim 14 are met by references and associated arguments applied to rejections of claims 6 and 13.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Hidaka (5,606,712), information managing apparatus capable of utilizing related information in different function modes; Davis (5,845,202), method and apparatus for acknowledge back signaling using a radio telephone system; Helferich (US 6,259,892), pager transceiver and methods for performing action on information at desired times.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matsuichiro Shimizu whose telephone number is (703) 306-5841. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Micheal Horabik, can be reached on (703-305-4704). The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703-305-3988).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703-305-8576).

Matsuichiro Shimizu

May 1, 2002


MICHAEL HORABIK
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

